arXiv:0807.4888v2 [math.CA] 2 Jul 2009

Dihedral Gauss hypergeometric functions

Raimundas Vidūnas
Kobe University

Abstract

Gauss hypergeometric functions with a dihedral monodromy group can be expressed as elementary functions, as their hypergeometric equations can be transformed to differential equations with a cyclic monodromy group. The paper presents general elementary expressions of these dihedral hypergeometric functions, involving finite bivariate sums expressible as terminating Appell's F_2 or F_3 series. Besides, algebraic transformations between dihedral hypergeometric functions are described, including Klein's pull-back transformations of algebraic hypergeometric functions with a finite dihedral monodromy group.

1 Introduction

As well known, special cases of the Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.{A,B \atop C}\right|z\right)$ can be represented in terms of elementary functions. A particularly interesting case are hypergeometric functions with a dihedral monodromy group; they can be expressed as compositions of degree 2 algebraic function with power or logarithmic functions. The simplest examples are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.{\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2} \atop a+1}\right|z\right) &= \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2}\right)^{-a}, & (1.1)\\
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.{\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2} \atop \frac{1}{2}}\right|z\right) &= \frac{(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}+(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2}, & (1.2)\\
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.{\frac{a+1}{2},\ \frac{a+2}{2} \atop \frac{3}{2}}\right|z\right) &= \frac{(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}-(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2\,a\sqrt{z}} \quad (a\neq 0), & (1.3)\\
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.{\frac{1}{2},\ 1 \atop \frac{3}{2}}\right|z\right) &= \frac{\log(1+\sqrt{z})-\log(1-\sqrt{z})}{2\sqrt{z}}. & (1.4)
\end{aligned}
$$

These are solutions of Euler's hypergeometric differential equation with the local monodromy differences $1/2, 1/2, a$ at the singular points. The monodromy group is an infinite dihedral group (for general $a \in \mathbf{C}$), or a finite dihedral group (for rational non-integer a), or an order 2 group (for non-zero integers a). Contiguous ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions have the same monodromy group, except possibly for integer a. We refer to Gauss hypergeometric functions with a dihedral monodromy group as *dihedral hypergeometric functions*.

This paper presents finite elementary expressions for general dihedral hypergeometric functions, in Sections 3 and 4. The key observation is that a particular univariate specialization of Appell's F_2 function satisfies the same Fuchsian equation as a quadratic pull-back transformation of general hypergeometric equation; see Theorem 2.1. When the monodromy group of the hypergeometric equation is dihedral, the F_2 function is a terminating bivariate sum. Linear relations between Gauss hypergeometric and terminating F_2 series solutions of the same second order Fuchsian equation give the announced elementary expressions for the former. The cases of degenerate and logarithmic dihedral hypergeometric functions are comprehensively considered in Section 8.

Additionally, Section 5 presents elementary solutions of the symmetric tensor squares of hypergeometric equations with a dihedral monodromy group. More technically, the elementary solutions of the tensor square equation are (semi)-invariants of the dihedral monodromy representation.

In Sections 6 and 7 we characterize algebraic transformations between dihedral hypergeometric functions. As noticed in [Vid04], [Vid05b] and [AK03], classical algebraic transformations of degree 2, 3, 4, 6 do not exhaust all transformation between (non-algebraic) Gauss hypergeometric functions. Transformations of general dihedral functions provide examples of "missed" algebraic transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions; those transformations even have a continuous parameter. Surely, algebraic dihedral functions have more particular transformations between themselves. In particular, a celebrated theorem of Klein implies that any hypergeometric equation with a finite dihedral monodromy group is a pull-back transformation of a standard dihedral equation with the local exponent differences $1/2, 1/2, 1/m$, where $m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

2 Preliminary facts

A general Gauss hypergeometric function ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} A, B \\ C \end{matrix} \right| z \right)$ satisfies Euler's hypergeometric differential equation is [AAR99, Formula (2.3.5)]:

$$z\,(1-z)\,\frac{d^2y(z)}{dz^2} + \big(C-(A+B+1)\,z\big)\,\frac{dy(z)}{dz} - A\,B\,y(z) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

This is a Fuchsian equation with three regular singular points $z = 0, 1$ and ∞. The local exponents are:

$$0,\ 1-C \text{ at } z=0; \qquad 0,\ C-A-B \text{ at } z=1; \qquad \text{and } A,\ B \text{ at } z=\infty.$$

The local exponent differences at these points are equal (up to a sign) to $1-C$, $C-A-B$ and $A-B$, respectively.

In the simplest dihedral case with the local exponent differences $1/2, 1/2, a$, the monodromy group can be computed using explicit expressions (1.1)–(1.4). If $a \neq 0$, we take (1.2) and $a\sqrt{z}$ times (1.3) as a basis of solutions; analytic continuation along loops around $z = 0$ and $z = 1$ gives the following generators of the monodromy group:

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \left(\begin{array}{cc} \frac{1+u}{2} & \frac{1-u}{2} \\ \frac{1-u}{2} & \frac{1+u}{2} \end{array} \right), \quad u = \exp(-2\pi i a). \tag{2.2}$$

If $a = 0$, the monodromy generators are $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2\pi i \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$.

In general, dihedral hypergeometric functions are characterized by the property that their differences of local exponents at two of the three singular points are half-integers. If the third local exponent is an irrational number, the monodromy group is an infinite dihedral group; if it is a non-integer rational number, the monodromy group is a finite dihedral group. If the third local exponent difference is an integer, the monodromy group is isomorphic either to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ or (in presence of logarithmic solutions) to an infinite dihedral group. The distinction of these two cases is given in Theorem 8.1 below.

By a quadratic pull-back transformation, Euler's differential equation with a dihedral monodromy group can be pull-backed to a Fuchsian equation with a (finite or infinite) cyclic monodromy group. The quadratic covering must ramify over the singular points with half-integer local exponent differences. In the simplest case of local exponent differences $1/2, 1/2, a$ the transformed equation has just two singularities. Correspondingly, the classical quadratic transformation [AAR99, (3.1.3)] gives

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a+1}{2} \\ a+1 \end{array} \right| 4x(1-x) \right) = {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} a, a+1 \\ a+1 \end{array} \right| x \right) = (1-x)^{-a}. \tag{2.3}$$

This formula is equivalent to (1.1). In general, the pull-backed Fuchsian equation will have at most two apparent singularities (with the local exponent differences $2k+1$, $2\ell+1$) and two non-apparent singularities (with the local exponent differences a, a). It turns out that a general quadratic pull-back transformation of Euler's hypergeometric equation, ramified above its singular points, can be related to univariate specialization $F_2(x, 2-x)$ of Appell's F_2 function. In particular, the following is proved in [Vid09b].

Theorem 2.1 *The functions*

$$F_2\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} a;\ b_1, b_2 \\ 2b_1, 2b_2 \end{array} \right| x, 2-x \right) \quad \textit{and} \quad (x-2)^{-a}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2}, \frac{a+1}{2} - b_2 \\ b_1 + \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right| \frac{x^2}{(2-x)^2} \right) \tag{2.4}$$

satisfy the same second order Fuchsian equation.

Proof. Part 1 of Theorem 2.4 in [Vid09b]. □

Recall that Appell's F_2 and F_3 bivariate functions are defined by the series

$$F_2\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a;\ b_1,b_2 \\ c_1,c_2 \end{array}\right| x,\, y\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{i+j}\,(b_1)_i\,(b_2)_j}{(c_1)_i\,(c_2)_j\ i!\,j!}\,x^i\,y^j, \tag{2.5}$$

$$F_3\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a_1,a_2;\ b_1,b_2 \\ c \end{array}\right| x,\, y\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_i(a_2)_j(b_1)_i(b_2)_j}{(c)_{i+j}\ i!\,j!}\,x^i\,y^j. \tag{2.6}$$

For general values of the parameters a, b_1, b_2, the double series for the $F_2(x, 2-x)$ function does not converge for any x. However, when b_1 and b_2 are zero or negative integers, the $F_2(x, 2-x)$ function can be seen as a finite sum of $(1-b_1)(1-b_2)$ terms. On the other hand, the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function in (2.4) is contiguous to (1.2) for integer values of b_1, b_2, hence in general it has a dihedral monodromy group as well. This relation between terminating $F_2(x, 2-x)$ sums and dihedral hypergeometric functions is behind our explicit expressions for general dihedral functions. We use the following variation of Theorem 2.1.

Corollary 2.2 *For non-positive integers k, ℓ, the functions*

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{array}\right|\, z\right) \quad \textit{and} \quad (1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}\,F_2\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a;-k,-\ell \\ -2k,-2\ell \end{array}\right| \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}, \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right) \tag{2.7}$$

satisfy the same second order Fuchsian equation.

Proof. Substitute $b_1 = -k$, $b_2 = -\ell$ and $x = 2\sqrt{z}/(1+\sqrt{z})$ in Theorem 2.1. □

We present our explicit results in the Section 3. If one of the local exponents of dihedral Euler's equation is equal to 1/2 (that is, say, $\ell = 0$), the double $F_2(x, 2-x)$ sum becomes a simple ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(x)$ sum. This is expected, as a quadratic pull-back of Euler's equation with the local exponent differences $1/2, k+1/2, p$ is a Fuchsian equation with three singularities (and local exponent differences $2k+1, p, p$), hence it solutions are Gauss hypergeometric functions. We describe additional explicit expressions for this case in Section 4.

In Section 5 we consider solutions of the symmetric tensor square equation of a dihedral hypergeometric equation (2.1). The symmetric tensor square equation has order 3, and must have an elementary solution because its 3-dimensional dihedral monodromy representation must be reducible. We express this elementary solution in terms of terminating $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}$ or $F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}$ double sums, where

$$F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a;b;p_1,p_2 \\ c;q_1,q_2 \end{array}\right| x, y\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{i+j}\,(b)_{i+j}\,(p_1)_i\,(p_2)_j}{(c)_{i+j}\,(q_1)_i\,(q_2)_j\ i!\,j!}\,x^i\,y^j, \tag{2.8}$$

$$F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a;p_1,p_2;q_1,q_2 \\ b;\ c \end{array}\right| x, y\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{i+j}\,(p_1)_i\,(p_2)_j\,(q_1)_i\,(q_2)_j}{(b)_{i+j}\ (c)_{i+j}\ i!\,j!}\,x^i\,y^j \tag{2.9}$$

in general. If $a = c$, these two series reduce to the bivariate Appell's F_2 or F_3 hypergeometric series, respectively.

Recall that Appell's $F_2(x,y)$ and $F_3(x,y)$ functions are closely related. In particular, they satisfy the same system of partial differential equations up to a simple transformation. Besides, terminating F_2 sums become terminating F_3 sums when summation is reversed in both directions. In particular, for $(a)_{k+\ell} \neq 0$ we have

$$F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a; -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\middle|\, x, y\right) = \frac{k!\,\ell!\,(a)_{k+\ell}}{(2k)!\,(2\ell)!}\, x^k\, y^\ell\, F_3\left(\begin{matrix} k+1, \ell+1; -k, -\ell \\ 1-a-k-\ell \end{matrix}\middle|\, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y}\right). \tag{2.10}$$

The relation between $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}(x,y)$ or $F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}(x,y)$ hypergeometric functions is similar. In particular, we will refer to the following formula for reversing summation order in both directions in a terminating $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}$ sum:

$$F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}\left(\begin{matrix} a; -a-2k-2\ell; -k, -\ell \\ \frac{1}{2}-k-\ell; -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\middle|\, x, 1-x\right) = \\ \frac{(a)_{2k+2\ell+1}\, k!\,\ell!\,x^k\,(1-x)^\ell}{(a+k+\ell)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+\ell}(2k)!\,(2\ell)!}\, F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}; k+1, \ell+1; -k, -\ell \\ a+k+\ell+1; 1-a-k-\ell \end{matrix}\middle|\, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{1-x}\right). \tag{2.11}$$

Because of frequent use, we recall Euler's and Pfaff's fractional-linear [AAR99, Theorem 2.2.5]:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ c \end{matrix}\middle|\, z\right) &= (1-z)^{c-a-b}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} c-a,\, c-b \\ c \end{matrix}\middle|\, z\right) & (2.12) \\ &= (1-z)^{-a}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} a,\, c-b \\ c \end{matrix}\middle|\, \frac{z}{z-1}\right). & (2.13) \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic transformation [AAR99, (3.1.3)] used in (2.3) is

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} a,\, b \\ \frac{a+b+1}{2} \end{matrix}\middle|\, x\right) = {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{b}{2} \\ \frac{a+b+1}{2} \end{matrix}\middle|\, 4x\,(1-x)\right). \tag{2.14}$$

The main results of this paper are stated for solutions of Euler's hypergeometric equation (2.1) with

$$A = \frac{a}{2}, \qquad B = \frac{a+1}{2} + \ell, \qquad C = \frac{1}{2} - k. \tag{2.15}$$

The local exponent differences of our working hypergeometric equation are $k+1/2$, $\ell+1/2$ and $p = a+k+\ell$. Throughout the paper, k, ℓ, m denote non-negative integers. Except in Section 8, we assume that a is not an integer.

3 Explicit expressions for dihedral functions

The following theorem presents generalizations of (1.1)–(1.3). The identities are finite elementary expressions for general dihedral hypergeometric functions. The F_2 and F_3 series are finite sums of $(k+1)(\ell+1)$ terms.

Theorem 3.1 *The following formulas hold for non-negative integers k, ℓ and general $a \in \mathbf{C}$:*

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ a+k+\ell+1 \end{matrix}\,\right|\, 1-z\right) &= z^{k/2}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{2}\right)^{-a-k-\ell} \times \\
&\quad F_3\left(\left.\begin{matrix} k+1, \ell+1; -k, -\ell \\ a+k+\ell+1 \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{\sqrt{z}-1}{2\sqrt{z}}, \frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{2}\right), \qquad (3.1)\\
\frac{\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_\ell}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, z\right) &= \frac{(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2} F_2\left(\left.\begin{matrix} a; -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}, \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right) \\
&+ \frac{(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2} F_2\left(\left.\begin{matrix} a; -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1}, \frac{2}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right), \qquad (3.2)\\
\frac{\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)_{k+\ell+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell} (-1)^k\, z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1&\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2}+k,\ \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, z\right) \\
&= \frac{(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2} F_2\left(\left.\begin{matrix} a; -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1}, \frac{2}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right) \\
&- \frac{(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2} F_2\left(\left.\begin{matrix} a; -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}, \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right). \qquad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first identity follows directly from Karlsson's identity [SK85, 9.4.(90)]; the series on both sides coincide in a neighborhood of $z = 1$. The other local solution at $z = 1$ is

$$(1-z)^{-a-k-\ell}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{a}{2}-k-\ell,\ \frac{1-a}{2}-k \\ 1-a-k-\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, 1-z\right), \tag{3.4}$$

which can be evaluated using (3.1) with substituted $a \mapsto -a-2k-2\ell$.

The three terms in (3.2) are solutions of the same second order Fuchsian equation by Corollary 2.2, so there must be a linear relation between them. Up to a scalar multiple, the right-hand side of (3.2) is the only linear combination of the two F_2 terms which is invariant under the conjugation $\sqrt{z} \mapsto -\sqrt{z}$. Evaluation of the right side at $z = 0$ leads to the sum ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} a, -\ell \\ -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, 2\right)$, which can be evaluated using Zeilberger's algorithm. In particular, a first order difference equation follows from this certificate identity for the summand $S(\ell, j)$:

$$(2\ell+1)\, S(\ell+1, j) - (a+1+2\ell)\, S(\ell, j) = H(\ell, j+1) - H(\ell, j), \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$S(\ell, j) = \frac{(a)_j\, (-\ell)_j}{j!\, (-2\ell)_j}\, 2^j, \qquad H(\ell, j) = -\frac{j\,(2\ell+1-j)}{2\,(\ell+1-j)} S(\ell, j). \tag{3.6}$$

As usual, the first order difference equation for the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(2)$ sum follows after summing up (3.5) with $j = 0, 1, \ldots, \ell+1$ and simplifying the telescoping sum on the right-hand side. The same difference equation is satisfied by $\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_\ell \big/ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell$ as well, and initial values at $\ell = 0$ coincide.

An alternative attempt to prove (3.2) using connection formula [AAR99, (2.3.13)], evaluation (3.1) and relation (2.10) gives the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}&\left(1+\sqrt{z}\right)^{k+\ell} F_2\left(\begin{matrix} a;\, -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}, \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right) = \\ &\qquad \frac{(-1)^{\ell}\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_{\ell}}{\left(\frac{a+1}{2}+k\right)_{\ell}} \left(1-\sqrt{z}\right)^{k+\ell} F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -a-2k-2\ell;\, -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1}, \frac{2}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right). \qquad (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

We utilize this identity for proving (3.3) using the same connection formula. After applying Euler's transformation (2.12) to both right-side terms in connection formula [AAR99, (2.3.13)] we have

$$\begin{aligned}z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2}+k,\, \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, z\right) &= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}+k\right)\Gamma(-a-k-\ell)}{\Gamma\left(1-\frac{a}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1-a}{2}-\ell\right)}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ a+k+\ell+1 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, 1-z\right) \\ &+\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}+k\right)\Gamma(a+k+\ell)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{a+1}{2}+k\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1\right)}\,(1-z)^{-a-k-\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{a}{2}-k-\ell,\, \frac{1-a}{2}-k \\ 1-a-k-\ell \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, 1-z\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using evaluation (3.1), for (3.4) as well, and relations (2.10), (3.7), we get (3.3). □

Note that the F_3 sum in (3.1) terminates for all (positive or negative) integers k, ℓ, as the set of upper parameters does not change under the substitutions $k \mapsto -k-1$ and $\ell \mapsto -\ell-1$. Formula (3.7) translates via (2.10) to

$$\begin{aligned}&F_3\left(\begin{matrix} k+1, \ell+1; -k, -\ell \\ a+k+\ell+1 \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{\sqrt{z}-1}{2\sqrt{z}}, \frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{2}\right) = \\ &\qquad \frac{(a)_{k+\ell}\left(\frac{a+1}{2}+k\right)_{\ell}}{(1+a+k+\ell)_{k+\ell}\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_{\ell}}\, F_3\left(\begin{matrix} k+1, \ell+1; -k, -\ell \\ 1-a-k-\ell \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{\sqrt{z}+1}{2\sqrt{z}}, \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{2}\right). \qquad (3.8)\end{aligned}$$

Summarising, the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions (3.2) and (3.3) form a local basis of solutions at $x=0$; the functions in (3.1) and (3.4) form a local basis of solutions at $x=1$; the functions

$$z^{-\frac{a}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2}+k \\ \frac{1}{2}-\ell \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{1}{z}\right), \qquad z^{-\frac{a+1}{2}-\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell,\, \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+\ell \end{matrix}\,\middle|\, \frac{1}{z}\right) \qquad (3.9)$$

form a local basis of solutions at $x=\infty$. Recall that 24 Kummer's solutions correspond to the orbit of 6×8 permutations of the three singular points $z=0$, $z=1$, $z=\infty$ and the pairs of local exponents at them [Vid07, Lemma 2.1]. Within our context described in (2.15), the other Kummer's solutions can be obtained by following this action of the mentioned permutations:

- Permutation of the local exponents at $z=0$: the parameters are transformed as $k \mapsto -k-1$, $a \mapsto a+2k+1$; the hypergeometric solution gets multiplied by $z^{k+1/2}$.
- Permutation of the local exponents at $z=1$: the parameters are transformed as $a \mapsto -a-2k-2\ell$; the hypergeometric solution gets multiplied by $(1-z)^{-a-k-\ell}$.

- Permutation of the local exponents at $z=\infty$: the parameters are transformed as $\ell \mapsto -\ell-1$, $a \mapsto a+2\ell+1$; the hypergeometric solution gets multiplied by $z^{-\ell-1/2}$.
- Permutation $z \mapsto 1/z$ of the singularities $z=0$, $z=\infty$: the parameters are transformed as $k \leftrightarrow \ell$; the hypergeometric solution gets multiplied by $z^{-a/2}$.
- Permutation $z \mapsto 1-z$ of the singularities $z=0$, $z=1$: the parameters are transformed as $k \mapsto -a-k-\ell-\frac{1}{2}$.
- Permutation $z \mapsto z/(z-1)$ of the singularities $z=1$, $z=\infty$: the parameters are transformed as $\ell \mapsto -a-k-\ell-\frac{1}{2}$; the hypergeometric solution gets multiplied by $(1-z)^{-a/2}$.

In particular, Euller-Pfaff transformations (2.12)–(2.13) of the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions in (3.1) and (3.2) are:

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell\\ a+k+\ell+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right) &= z^{-\frac{a}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+k\\ a+k+\ell+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-\frac{1}{z}\right) && (3.10)\\
&= z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a+1}{2}+k,\ \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1\\ a+k+\ell+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right) && (3.11)\\
&= z^{-\frac{a+1}{2}-\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a+1}{2}+\ell,\ \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1\\ a+k+\ell+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-\frac{1}{z}\right), && (3.12)\\
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,z\right) &= (1-z)^{-a-k-\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}-\frac{a}{2}-k-\ell,\ \frac{1-a}{2}-k\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,z\right) && (3.13)\\
&= (1-z)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ -\frac{a}{2}-k-\ell\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{z}{z-1}\right) && (3.14)\\
&= (1-z)^{-\frac{a+1}{2}-\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{1-a}{2}-k,\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{z}{z-1}\right). && (3.15)
\end{aligned}$$

The Euler-Pfaff transformations of the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function in (3.3) have a similar shape in the parameters, except that the lower parameter is always positive, $\frac{3}{2}+k$.

4 The simple cases

In the special cases $k=0$ or $\ell=0$, one of the local exponents of dihedral Euler's equation (2.1) is equal to 1/2. Then the terminating double F_2 or F_3 sums in (3.1)–(3.3) become terminating single ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums. For example, a special case of (3.2) is

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,z\right) &= \frac{(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}-k,\ a\\ -2k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}}{2}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix}-k,\ a\\ -2k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1}\right). && (4.1)
\end{aligned}$$

This appearance of terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums is expectable, since the quadratic pull-back transformation of Euler's equation with the local exponent differences $1/2, k+1/2, p$ is a Fuchsian equation with three singularities (and the local exponent differences $2k+1, p, p$). Hence it solutions can be expressed in terms of Gauss hypergeometric functions. The corresponding quadratic transformations relate the dihedral and terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions. In particular, classical formula [AAR99, (3.1.9)] gives

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2} \\ a+k+1 \end{array} \right| \frac{4x}{(x+1)^2} \right) = (1+x)^a \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, a \\ a+k+1 \end{array} \right| x \right). \tag{4.2} $$

Formulas (2.14) and (2.13) give

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ a+\ell+1 \end{array} \right| 4x(1-x) \right) &= {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} a,\, a+2\ell+1 \\ a+\ell+1 \end{array} \right| x \right) \\ &= (1-x)^{-a} \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -\ell,\, a \\ a+\ell+1 \end{array} \right| \frac{x}{x-1} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3} $$

These two formulas can be rewritten as

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2} \\ a+k+1 \end{array} \right| z \right) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2} \right)^{-a} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, a \\ a+k+1 \end{array} \right| \frac{1-\sqrt{1-z}}{1+\sqrt{1-z}} \right), \tag{4.4} $$

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ a+\ell+1 \end{array} \right| z \right) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{1-z}}{2} \right)^{-a} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -\ell,\, a \\ a+\ell+1 \end{array} \right| \frac{\sqrt{1-z}-1}{\sqrt{1-z}+1} \right). \tag{4.5} $$

We recognize special cases of (3.1) after the substitution $z \mapsto 1-z$ and application of Pfaff's fractional-linear transformation (2.13) to the terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ series. Relatedly, formulas (3.7), (3.8) are standard hypergeometric identities when $k=0$ or $\ell=0$.

The monodromy group of the pull-backed equation is a (finite or infinite) cyclic group; the monodromy representation is reducible. The pull-backed equation has terminating solutions, and they are related to each other (and to hypergeometric solutions of the original equation) differently than classical fractional-linear and quadratic transformations or connection formulas prescribe. As investigated in [Vid07], the set of 24 Kummer's solutions degenerates when Euler's equation (2.1) has terminating or logarithmic solutions. We comment on these degeneracies in Remarks 8.5 and 8.6 below.

For general $a \in \mathbf{C}$, the setting of [Vid07, Section 7] applies. We have

$$ \begin{aligned} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, a \\ -2k \end{array} \right| x \right) &= (1-x)^k \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, -a-2k \\ -2k \end{array} \right| \frac{x}{x-1} \right) &(4.6) \\ &= \frac{k!\,(a)_k}{(2k)!}\, x^k \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, k+1 \\ 1-a-k \end{array} \right| \frac{1}{x} \right) &(4.7) \\ &= \frac{k!(1+a+k)_k}{(2k)!}\, x^k \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, k+1 \\ 1+a+k \end{array} \right| 1-\frac{1}{x} \right) &(4.8) \\ &= \frac{k!(1+a+k)_k}{(2k)!}\; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, a \\ 1+a+k \end{array} \right| 1-x \right) &(4.9) \\ &= \frac{k!\,(a)_k}{(2k)!}\, (x-1)^k \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} -k,\, -a-2k \\ 1-a-k \end{array} \right| \frac{1}{1-x} \right). &(4.10) \end{aligned} $$

Using these formulas, one can modify the finite sums in specialized expressions (3.1)–(3.3) to different appealing finite sums. The six hypergeometric expressions in (4.6)–(4.10) with $x = 2\sqrt{z}/\,(1+\sqrt{z})$ have the following arguments, respectively:

$$\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}}, \quad \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1}, \quad \frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{2\sqrt{z}}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{z}-1}{2\sqrt{z}}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{z}-1}{\sqrt{z}+1}, \quad \frac{\sqrt{z}+1}{\sqrt{z}-1}. \tag{4.11}$$

Substitutions like $z \mapsto 1/z$ and $z \mapsto 1-z$ are easy to make here. The substitution $z \mapsto z/(z-1)$ leads to the following six arguments, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} 2z - 2\sqrt{z^2-z}, \quad 2z + 2\sqrt{z^2-z}, \quad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{z^2-z}}{2z}, \quad \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{z^2-z}}{2z}, \\ 1 - 2z + 2\sqrt{z^2-z}, \quad 1 - 2z - 2\sqrt{z^2-z}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

The argument on the right-hand side of (4.5) can be written as

$$\frac{\sqrt{1-z}-1}{\sqrt{1-z}+1} = 1 - \frac{2}{z} + \frac{2\sqrt{1-z}}{z}. \tag{4.13}$$

According to [Vid07, Section 7], Euler's hypergeometric equation for (4.6) has other terminating solution

$$(1-x)^{-a-k}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} -k,\, -a-2k \\ -2k \end{matrix} \right| x \right) = (1-x)^{-a}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} -k,\, a \\ -2k \end{matrix} \right| \frac{x}{x-1} \right). \tag{4.14}$$

In terms of z under the identification $x = 2\sqrt{z}/\,(1+\sqrt{z})$, this terminating solution is the conjugate $\sqrt{z} \mapsto -\sqrt{z}$ of the first one if we adjust a power factor like in (4.1). In total, both terminating solutions are representable by 6 terminating and 4 non-terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums. The remaining 4 Kummer's solutions of the pull-backed equation are non-terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ series at $x = 0$. They are related by Euler-Pfaff transformations (2.12)–(2.13), and represent the following solution:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-1)^k\, k!^2\, (a)_{2k+1}}{(2k)!\,(2k+1)!} x^{2k+1}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} k+1, a+2k+1 \\ 2k+2 \end{matrix} \right| x \right) = \\ (1-x)^{-a-k}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} -k,\, -a-2k \\ -2k \end{matrix} \right| x \right) - {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} -k,\, a \\ -2k \end{matrix} \right| x \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Classical quadratic transformation [AAR99, (3.1.7)] gives the following identification:

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} k+1, a+2k+1 \\ 2k+2 \end{matrix} \right| \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}} \right) = \big(1+\sqrt{z}\big)^a\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2}+k,\, \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix} \right| z \right), \tag{4.16}$$

consistent with (3.3).

Remark 4.1 The ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ series in (4.1), (4.6), (4.14) can be interpreted as non-terminating series, with the first $k+1$ terms as in the terminating interpretation, then k terms equal to 0, and the tail determined by taking a continuous limit to the integer value of k. The left-hand side of (4.15) is the difference between the terminating and non-terminating interpretations. Both terminating and non-terminating interpretations satisfy the same Euler's hypergeometric equation.

The ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions in (4.6), (4.14) are formally related by Euler-Pfaff transformations (2.12)–(2.13), but the terminating and non-terminating interpretations have to be mixed up then. The Euler-Pfaff formulas relating (4.6) and (4.14) are wrong if the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions are consistently interpreted as terminating series. For integer values of a, the structure of 24 Kummer's solutions degenerates further; see Remark 8.5 below.

Similarly, the F_2 series in (2.7) and the $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}$ series in (2.11) have the interpretation of terminating summation in both directions (which is the one we use), interpretations of terminating summation in one direction but non-terminating summation in the other direction, and the interpretation of non-terminating summation in both directions. Either interpretation satisfies the same defining system of partial differential equations.

5 Symmetric square solutions

Let y_1, y_2 denote two independent solutions of Euler's equation (2.1) with a dihedral monodromy group, say, the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ solutions in (3.2)–(3.3). The functions y_1^2, y_1y_2, y_2^2 satisfy the same third order Fuchsian equation, called the *symmetric tensor square* of the second order equation under consideration. The monodromy group of the symmetric square is the same dihedral group, but the corresponding monodromy representation is reducible [SU93, Theorem 4.1]. An elementary solution of the symmetric square equation is expected.

Clausen's formula

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} A,\; B \\ A+B+\frac12 \end{array} \right| x \right)^2 = {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} 2A,\, 2B,\, A+B \\ 2A+2B, A+B+\frac12 \end{array} \right| x \right), \tag{5.1} $$

identifies two solutions of the symmetric square of a rather general Euler's equation (2.1). It applies to the squares of the dihedral ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ functions in (3.1), (3.4) when $k=0$, and to the square of

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a+1}{2}+k,\; \frac{1-a}{2} \\ \frac32+k \end{array} \right| \frac{z}{z-1} \right). \tag{5.2} $$

The latter function is related to the specialization $\ell = 0$ of (3.3) by Pfaff's fractional-linear transformation (2.13). However, considering (3.14) with $\ell = 0$, Clausen's identity with

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2}, -\frac{a}{2}-k \\ \frac12-k \end{array} \right| \frac{z}{z-1} \right)^2 \tag{5.3} $$

leads to an apparently terminating ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ series with $A+B=-k$ in (5.1). Then Clausen's identity is false if the ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ series is interpreted as a terminating sum. Nevertheless, the terminating ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ sum still satisfies the same symmetric square equation; it gives the expectable elementary solution.

Here is an identity that relates the terminating ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ sum to the squares of our dihedral solutions with $\ell = 0$.

Lemma 5.1 *For any $a \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$ and any integer $k \geq 0$ or $\ell \geq 0$ we have the following identities in a neighbourhood of $z = 0$:*

$$(1-z)^{-a}\,{}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left. \begin{matrix} -k,\, a,\, -a-2k \\ -2k,\, \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix} \right| \frac{z}{z-1} \right) =$$
$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix} \right| z \right)^2 - \frac{2^{4k}\,k!^4\,(a)_{2k+1}^2}{(2k)!^2(2k+1)!^2}\, z^{2k+1}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2}+k,\, \frac{a}{2}+k+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix} \right| z \right)^2. \qquad (5.4)$$

Proof. The function in (5.3) can be evaluated by taking a limit in Clausen's identity:

$$\begin{aligned} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} A,\, -A-k \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix} \right| x \right)^2 &= \lim_{B\to -A-k} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} A,\, B \\ A+B+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right| x \right)^2, \\ &= \lim_{B\to -A-k} {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left. \begin{matrix} 2A,\, 2B,\, A+B \\ 2A+2B, A+B+\frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right| x \right). \end{aligned}$$

The latter limit is equal to

$$ {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left. \begin{matrix} 2A,\, -2A-2k,\, -k \\ \frac{1}{2}-k,\, -2k \end{matrix} \right| x \right) + $$
$$ \frac{(2A)_{2k+1}(-2A-2k)_{2k+1}(-k)_k k!}{(\frac{1}{2}-k)_{2k+1}\,(-2k)_{2k}\cdot 2}\, \frac{x^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\, {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left. \begin{matrix} 2A+2k+1, 1-2A, k+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k,\, 2k+2 \end{matrix} \right| x \right). $$

Here the first ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ series is interpreted as terminating. We apply Clausen's identity to the second ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ series, and collect the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1(x)^2$ terms on one side:

$$ {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left. \begin{matrix} 2A,\, -2A-2k,\, -k \\ \frac{1}{2}-k,\, -2k \end{matrix} \right| x \right) = {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} A,\, -A-k \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix} \right| x \right)^2 + $$
$$ \frac{(2A)_{2k+1}^2\; k!^2\; x^{2k+1}}{2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1} (2k)!(2k+1)!}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} A+k+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-A \\ k+\frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \right| x \right)^2. \qquad (5.5)$$

Now we substitute $A \mapsto a/2$, $x = z/(z-1)$, use

$$\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)_k = \frac{(2k)!}{2^{2k}\,k!}, \qquad \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1} = \frac{(2k+1)!}{2^{2k+1}\,k!}, \qquad (5.6)$$

and apply Pfaff's transformation (2.13) to the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ series to get (5.4). □

Similarly, one can prove the following identity for the case $k = 0$:

$$\frac{(2\ell)!^2}{2^{4\ell}\,\ell!^2}\,(1-z)^{-a}\,{}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\ell,\ a, -a-2\ell \\ -2\ell,\ \frac{1}{2}-\ell \end{array}\right|\,\frac{1}{1-z}\right) =$$

$$\left(\tfrac{a+1}{2}\right)_\ell^2\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array}\right|\,z\right)^2 - 4\left(\tfrac{a}{2}\right)_{\ell+1}^2 z\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{a+1}{2},\ \frac{a}{2}+\ell+1 \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{array}\right|\,z\right)^2. \qquad (5.7)$$

More generally, formulas (5.4) and (5.7) can be blended into the following formula:

$$(1-z)^{-a}\,F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a; -a-2k-2\ell; -k, -\ell \\ \frac{1}{2}-k-\ell;\ -2k, -2\ell \end{array}\right|\,\frac{z}{z-1}, \frac{1}{1-z}\right) =$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_\ell\left(\frac{a+1}{2}+k\right)_\ell}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{array}\right|\,z\right)^2 -$$

$$\frac{\left(\frac{a+1}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{a+1}{2}+\ell\right)_k\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)_{k+\ell+1}^2}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+\ell}}\,z^{2k+1}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{a+1}{2}+k,\ \frac{a}{2}+k+\ell+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{array}\right|\,z\right)^2. \qquad (5.8)$$

The $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}$ sum is defined in (2.8). The latest general identity is a variation of [Vid09a, (11)]. Most generally, [Vid09a] proves that the univariate functions

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a,\ b \\ c \end{array}\right|\,x\right)^2, \qquad F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} 2a;\ 2b;\ c-\frac{1}{2},\ a+b-c+\frac{1}{2} \\ a+b+\frac{1}{2};\ 2c-1, 2a+2b-2c+1 \end{array}\right|\,x, 1-x\right),$$

$$x^{1-c}(1-x)^{c-a-b-\frac{1}{2}}\,F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}; a-b+\frac{1}{2}, a+b-c+\frac{1}{2}; b-a+\frac{1}{2}, c-a-b+\frac{1}{2} \\ c;\ 2-c \end{array}\right|\,x, \frac{x}{x-1}\right) \qquad (5.9)$$

satisfy the same symmetric tensor square equation, and the following direct generalization of Clausen's identity:

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a,\ b \\ a+b+\ell+\frac{1}{2} \end{array}\right|\,x\right)^2 =$$

$$\frac{(\frac{1}{2})_\ell\,(a+b+\frac{1}{2})_\ell}{(a+\frac{1}{2})_\ell\,(b+\frac{1}{2})_\ell}\,F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} 2a;\ 2b;\ a+b+\ell,\ -\ell \\ a+b+\frac{1}{2};\ 2a+2b+2\ell, -2\ell \end{array}\right|\,x, 1-x\right). \qquad (5.10)$$

Identity (5.8) follows from (5.10) by taking the limit $b \to -a-k-l$, similarly as in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Besides, [Vid09a] proves

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} a,\ b \\ c \end{array}\right|\,x\right){}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} 1+a-c,\ 1+b-c \\ 2-c \end{array}\right|\,x\right) = (1-x)^{c-a-b-\frac{1}{2}}\times$$

$$F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2}; a-b+\frac{1}{2}, a+b-c+\frac{1}{2}; b-a+\frac{1}{2}, c-a-b+\frac{1}{2} \\ c;\ 2-c \end{array}\right|\,x, \frac{x}{x-1}\right). \qquad (5.11)$$

The case $c = a+b+\frac{1}{2}$ of this formula is a well-known [AAR99, pg. 116] companion to Clausen's identity, due to Chaundy [Cha58]. Formula (5.11) can be applied with terminating $F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}$ series without any ambiguity. In particular, within our context we have

$$
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell\\ a+k+\ell+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right) {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}-\frac{a}{2}-k-\ell,\ \frac{1-a}{2}-k\\ 1-a-k-\ell\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right) = \\
z^k\,F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}\!\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2};\ k+1,\ell+1;\ -k,-\ell\\ a+k+\ell+1;1-a-k-\ell\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-\frac{1}{z},1-z\right). \quad (5.12)
$$

The $F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}$ sum simplifies to a terminating ${}_3\mathrm{F}_2$ sum if $k=0$ or $\ell=0$. For example,

$$
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2}+\ell\\ a+\ell+1\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right) {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}-\frac{a}{2}-\ell,\ \frac{1-a}{2}\\ 1-a-\ell\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right) = {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\!\left(\begin{matrix}\frac{1}{2},\ -\ell,\ \ell+1\\ 1-a-\ell, 1+a+\ell\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,1-z\right). \quad (5.13)
$$

The terminating $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}$ and $F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}$ sums in (5.8) and (5.12) are related by formula (2.11). Up to a constant multiple, the two sums are the same but the summation order is reversed in both directions. This is consistent with one-dimensionality of the space of elementary solutions for the symmetric tensor square equation.

More technically, the elementary $F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}$ or $F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}$ solutions are values of degree 2 semi-invariants of the dihedral monodromy group (or the differential Galois group) of starting Euler's hypergeometric equation [SU93]. Formulas (5.8) and (5.12) identify the semi-invariants as quadratic expressions in ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ solutions. There are higher degree semi-invariants if the monodromy group is a finite dihedral group; see Remark 7.4 below. Our explicit formulas for the degree 2 semi-invariants can be applied to [KNSY08, Theorem 3.3] or [OY04].

6 Transformations of dihedral functions

In the following two sections we characterize algebraic transformations between dihedral hypergeometric functions. It was widely assumed that classical quadratic and degree 3, 4, 6 transformations (due to Gauss, Kummer, Goursat) exhaust all transformations of the form

$$
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}\widetilde{A},\ \widetilde{B}\\ \widetilde{C}\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,x\right) = \theta(x)\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\begin{matrix}A,\ B\\ C\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\varphi(x)\right) \qquad (6.1)
$$

if the Gauss hypergeometric functions are not algebraic functions. Here $\varphi(x)$ is a rational function of x, and $\theta(x)$ is a power of a rational function. An instance of a quadratic transformation is used here in (2.3). Algebraic transformations are usually induced by pull-back transformations $y(z)\mapsto\theta(x)y(\varphi(z))$ of Euler's equation (2.1).

Particularly, [Erd53, Section 2.1.5] states the following: *"Transformations of [degrees other than* $2,3,4,6$*] can exist only if* a,b,c *are certain rational numbers; in those cases the solutions of the hypergeometric equation are algebraic functions."* Transformations of dihedral hypergeometric functions provide a simple counter-example to this assumption; this was noticed in [Vid04], [AK03].

All transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions, induced by pull-back transformations of Euler's hypergeometric equation, are classified in [Vid04]. The transformations for general dihedral functions transform the local exponent differences as follows:

$$(1/2, 1/2, a) \overset{n}{\longleftarrow} (1/2,\ 1/2,\ na). \tag{6.2}$$

As in [Vid04], the first triple of local exponent difference is for the hypergeometric function under transformation, n denotes the degree of the covering, and the second triple of local exponent differences is for the pull-backed equation. These transformations have any degree, and have a free parameter a. There are more transformations if $a \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$; see Section 7.

Consider a pullback covering $\varphi : \mathbf{P}^1 \to \mathbf{P}^1$ for transformation (6.2). Let x, z denote the coordinate functions on the projective lines above and below, respectively. We can write $z = \varphi(x)$. As throughout the paper, we assume that the local exponent differences $1/2$ are assigned to the points $z = 0$, $z = \infty$ and $x = 0$, $x = \infty$. We assume that the point $x = 0$ lies above the point $z = 0$, so that x divides the numerator of $\varphi(x)$. We can expect then a transformation like

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{an}{2},\ \frac{an+1}{2} \\ 1/2 \end{matrix} \right| x \right) = \theta(x)\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2} \\ 1/2 \end{matrix} \right| \varphi(x) \right). \tag{6.3}$$

In the notation of [Vid04], the ramification pattern of $\varphi(x)$ must be

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1+2+2+\ldots+2 = n = 1+2+2+\ldots+2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 1+1+2+2+\ldots+2 = n = 2+2+\ldots+2, & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{array}$$

Here we present the explicit covering and corresponding transformations.

Theorem 6.1 *For a positive integer n, let us define the polynomials*

$$\theta_1(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k} x^k, \qquad \theta_2(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2k+1} x^k. \tag{6.4}$$

Then the rational function $\varphi(x) = x\,\theta_2(x)^2/\theta_1(x)^2$ realizes pull-back transformation (6.2), and we have the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x)^n &= \theta_1\left(x^2\right) - x\,\theta_2\left(x^2\right), && (6.5) \\ (1-x)^n &= \theta_1(x)^2 - x\,\theta_2(x)^2, && (6.6) \\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{na}{2},\ \frac{na+1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right| x \right) &= \theta_1(x)^{-a}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2},\ \frac{a+1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \right| \frac{x\,\theta_2(x)^2}{\theta_1(x)^2} \right), && (6.7) \\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{na+1}{2},\ \frac{na}{2}+1 \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \right| x \right) &= \theta_1(x)^{-a-1}\, \frac{\theta_2(x)}{n}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left. \begin{matrix} \frac{a+1}{2},\ \frac{a}{2}+1 \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix} \right| \frac{x\,\theta_2(x)^2}{\theta_1(x)^2} \right), && (6.8) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{na}{2},\, -\frac{na}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \middle|\, \frac{x}{x-1} \right) &= {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, -\frac{a}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \middle|\, -\frac{x\,\theta_2(x)^2}{(1-x)^n} \right), && (6.9)\\
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{na}{2},\, \frac{na+1}{2} \\ na+1 \end{array} \middle|\, 1-x \right) &= \left(\frac{\theta_1(x)}{2^{n-1}}\right)^{-a} {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2} \\ a+1 \end{array} \middle|\, \frac{(1-x)^n}{\theta_1(x)^2} \right). && (6.10)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Observe that the polynomials $\theta_1(x)$, $\theta_2(x)$ satisfy

$$
\left(1-\sqrt{x}\right)^n = \theta_1(x) - \sqrt{x}\,\theta_2(x). \tag{6.11}
$$

This identity is equivalent to (6.5). Formula (6.7) is easily obtainable using (1.2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{na}{2},\, \frac{na+1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \middle|\, x \right) &= \frac{(1-\sqrt{x})^{-na} + (1+\sqrt{x})^{-na}}{2}\\
&= \frac{\left(\theta_1(x) - \sqrt{x}\,\theta_2(x)\right)^{-a} + \left(\theta_1(x) + \sqrt{x}\,\theta_2(z)\right)^{-a}}{2}\\
&= \theta_1(x)^{-a}\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{a}{2},\, \frac{a+1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \middle|\, \frac{x\,\theta_2(x)^2}{\theta_1(x)^2} \right).
\end{aligned}
$$

By part 2 of [Vid04, Lemma 2.1], the covering $z=\varphi(x)=x\,\theta_2(x)^2/\theta_1(x)^2$ gives a pull-back (6.2) between the corresponding differential equations. The ramification degrees above $z=0$ and $z=\infty$ are obviously right. The point $x=1$ must must be the unique point above $z=1$. Hence $(1-x)^n$ must be a constant multiple of $\theta_1(x)^2 - x\,\theta_2(x)^2$. Indeed, multiplication of (6.11) with its conjugate $\sqrt{x}\mapsto-\sqrt{x}$ version gives (6.6).

Formula (6.8) follows from [Vid04, Lemma 2.3] applied to (6.7). The last two formulas follow from a standard identification of local hypergeometric solutions (at $x=0$ and $x=1$, respectively) related by a pull-back transformation. □

The polynomials $\theta_1(x)$, $\theta_2(x)$ in (6.4) can be written as ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ hypergeometric sums:

$$
\theta_1(x) = {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2},\, -\frac{n-1}{2} \\ 1/2 \end{array} \middle|\, x \right), \qquad \theta_2(x) = n\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n-1}{2},\, -\frac{n-2}{2} \\ 3/2 \end{array} \middle|\, x \right). \tag{6.12}
$$

The peculiarly similar identities (6.5) and (6.6) can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-x)^n &= {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n-1}{2} \\ 1/2 \end{array} \middle|\, x^2 \right) - n\,x\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n-1}{2}, -\frac{n-2}{2} \\ 3/2 \end{array} \middle|\, x^2 \right), && (6.13)\\
(1-x)^n &= {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n-1}{2} \\ 1/2 \end{array} \middle|\, x \right)^2 - n^2 x\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n-1}{2}, -\frac{n-2}{2} \\ 3/2 \end{array} \middle|\, x \right)^2. && (6.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

The latter identity is the special case $a=-n$, $k=0$ of Lemma 5.1. The polynomials $\theta_1(x)$, $\theta_2(x)$ are related to Tchebyshev polynomials (of the first and the second kind):

$$
T_n(x) = x^n\,\theta_1\!\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2}\right), \qquad U_{n-1}(x) = x^{n-1}\,\theta_2\!\left(\frac{x^2-1}{x^2}\right). \tag{6.15}
$$

Formula (6.9) can be written, for odd n, as

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{na}{2}, -\frac{na}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, x\right) \; = \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2}, -\frac{a}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, n^2 x\, {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1-n}{2}, \frac{1+n}{2} \\ 3/2 \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, x\right)^2\right), \tag{6.16} $$

and for even n as

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{na}{2}, -\frac{na}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, x\right) \; = \; {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{a}{2}, -\frac{a}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, n^2 x(1-x) {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{matrix} 1-\frac{n}{2}, 1+\frac{n}{2} \\ 3/2 \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, x\right)^2\right). \tag{6.17} $$

The transformation of Theorem 6.1 is unique with the prescribed ramification pattern (with $x = 0$ lies above $z = 0$ where the local exponent difference is $1/2$), as such an transformation with ϕ normalized as described must identify the explicit solutions $(1-\sqrt{x})^{-na}$ and $(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}$, and there is only one way to identify them.

The transformation with $n = 2$ is a special case of a classical quadratic transformation; compare to [Tem96, Exercise 5.9]. More generally, a classical quadratic transformation can transform the local exponent differences as follows:

$$ \left(\tfrac{1}{2},\, k+\tfrac{1}{2},\, p\right) \xleftarrow{\;2\;} \left(k+\tfrac{1}{2},\, k+\tfrac{1}{2},\, 2p\right). \tag{6.18} $$

The quadratic transformation of Section 4 transforms the local exponent differences as follows:

$$ \left(\tfrac{1}{2},\, k+\tfrac{1}{2},\, p\right) \xleftarrow{\;2\;} (2k+1,\, p,\, p), \qquad p = a+k. \tag{6.19} $$

If $k = 0$ we can compose (6.2) and this quadratic transformation and obtain a transformation

$$ (1/2, 1/2, p) \xleftarrow{\;2n\;} (1, np, np). \tag{6.20} $$

The same transformation can be obtained by composing the same quadratic transformation and $(1,p,p) \xleftarrow{\;n\;} (1,np,np)$ of [Vid04, Section 5]. By the classification in [Vid04, Section 5], transformations (6.2), (6.20) and the quadratic transformations exhaust all pull-back transformations of hypergeometric equations with an infinite dihedral monodromy group.

Higher degree classical transformations [Gou81] apply to algebraic dihedral functions with a small finite monodromy group. Refining [Vid04, Table 1], we get the transformations

$$ \begin{array}{ll} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2k+1}{4}\right) \xleftarrow{\;3\;} \left(\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{2k+1}{4}\right), & \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, k+\frac{1}{2}\right) \xleftarrow{\;3\;} \left(\frac{1}{2}, k+\frac{1}{2}, 2k+1\right), \\ (1/2, 1/2, 1/3) \xleftarrow{\;4\;} (1/2, 3/2, 1/3), & (1/2, 1/2, 1/4) \xleftarrow{\;4\;} (1/2, 1/2, 1), \\ (1/2, 1/3, 1/3) \xleftarrow{\;3\;} (1/2, 1/2, 1/2), & (1/2, 1/2, 1/3) \xleftarrow{\;6\;} (1/2, 1/2, 2), \\ (1/2, 1/3, 1/4) \xleftarrow{\;6\;} (1/2, 1/2, 1/2), & (1/2, 1/2, 1/3) \xleftarrow{\;6\;} (1, 1, 1). \end{array} $$

A special notice is in order for transformations involving degenerate or logarithmic Gauss hypergeometric functions; see Remark 8.6 below.

If p (or a) is a rational number, the monodromy group is a finite dihedral group, the hypergeometric solutions are algebraic functions, and there is a separate class of *Klein transformations* $(1/2, 1/2, 1/m) \xleftarrow{d} (k+1/2, \ell+1/2, p)$, where m is the denominator of p. These transformations are considered in the following section.

7 Transformations of algebraic dihedral functions

If a is a rational number but not an integer, the dihedral Gauss hypergeometric functions are algebraic functions. There are more algebraic transformations between these functions. In particular, celebrated Klein's theorem implies that any algebraic dihedral function is a pull-back transformation of a dihedral function with the local exponent differences $(1/2, 1/2, 1/m)$, where m is a positive integer. We assume here that $m > 1$. The case $m = 1$ gives degenerate or logarithmic dihedral hypergeometric functions; their transformations are commented in Remark 8.6 below.

Klein's pull-back transformations for second order linear equations with a finite monodromy group can be found by computing values of monodromy semi-invariants as solutions of appropriate symmetric tensor powers of the given equation [vHW05]; extension of Klein's theorem to third order linear differential equations equations with a finite monodromy group is possible [Ber04]. For Gauss hypergeometric functions with a finite monodromy group, Klein's pull-back transformations can be found by using contiguous relations and a data base of simplest explicit expressions of Gauss hypergeometric functions of each Schwarz type [Vid08]. This approach simplifies greatly for algebraic dihedral hypergeometric functions, as we demonstrate here. In particular, we do not have to use contiguous relations, since we already have general explicit expressions for any dihedral hypergeometric functions.

Theorem 7.1 *Let H_1 denote hypergeometric equation (2.1) with a finite dihedral monodromy group. Assume that the local exponent differences of H_1 are $(k+1/2, \ell+1/2, n/m)$, where k, ℓ, m, n are positive integers, and $\gcd(n, m) = 1$. Let us denote*

$$G(\sqrt{x}) = x^{k/2}\, F_3\left(\begin{matrix} k+1, \ell+1; -k, -\ell \\ 1+\frac{n}{m} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2\sqrt{x}}, \frac{1+\sqrt{x}}{2} \right). \tag{7.1}$$

This is a polynomial in $\sqrt{x}$. We can write

$$\left(1+\sqrt{x}\right)^n G(\sqrt{x})^m = \Theta_1(x) + x^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\, \Theta_2(x), \tag{7.2}$$

so that $\Theta_1(x)$ and $\Theta_2(x)$ are polynomials in x. Klein's pull-back covering for H_1 is given by the rational function $\Phi(x) = x^{2k+1}\, \Theta_2(x)^2 / \Theta_1(x)^2$. The degree of this rational function is equal to $(k+\ell)m + n$.

Proof. The corresponding standard hypergeometric equation H_0 has the local exponent differences $(1/2, 1/2, 1/m)$. The degree of Klein's pull-back covering for H_1 can be immediately computed from part 2 of [Vid04, Lemma 2.5]:

$$d = \frac{(k+\frac{1}{2})+(\ell+\frac{1}{2})+\frac{n}{m}-1}{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{m}-1} = (k+\ell)\,m+n. \tag{7.3}$$

For the sake of immediate visualization, the ramification pattern above the point with the local exponent $1/m$ must be $n+m+m+\ldots+m$. Above the two points with the local exponent $1/2$ we have single points of ramification order $2k+1$ and $2\ell+1$ (which may lie in the same fiber or not), and the remaining points are simple ramification points.

As explained in [Vid08, Section 2], Klein's pull-back covering can be seen as the composition $s_0^{-1}\circ s$, where s_0 is a Schwarz map for the standard equation H_0, and s is the corresponding Schwarz map for the given equation H_1. The inverse Schwarz map s_0^{-1} for the standard equation is a rational function (of degree $2m$), and it cancels the monodromy of s. Recall that a *Schwarz map* for a second order linear differential equation is the ratio of any two distinct solutions of it. The image of the complex upper half-plane under s_0 is a spherical triangle with the angles $(\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/m)$.

Slightly differently from [Vid08, Algorithm 3.1], let z, x denote the variables for H_0 and H_1, respectively. We assign the local exponent difference $1/2$ to the points $z=0$ and $z=\infty$, and the local exponent difference $1/m$ to $z=1$. On the x-projective line, we assign $k+1/2, \ell+1/2, n/m$ to the points $x=0$, $x=\infty$ and $x=1$, respectively. We choose the point $x=0$ to lie above $z=0$; the point $x=1$ must lie above $z=1$; the point $x=\infty$ lies above $z=0$ or $z=\infty$. The Darboux pull-back coverings (as defined in [Vid05a] and [Vid08]) for both equations are simply the quadratic transformations that reduce the dihedral monodromy group to $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$. The Darboux coverings are defined by the functions $\sqrt{z}$ and $\sqrt{x}$.

We have the following two solutions of the standard equation H_0:

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2m},\ \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2m} \\ 1-\frac{1}{m} \end{array}\right|\, 1-z\right) \quad=\quad \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{2}\right)^{1/m}. \tag{7.4}$$

$$ (1-z)^{1/m}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2m},\ \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2m} \\ 1+\frac{1}{m} \end{array}\right|\, 1-z\right) \quad=\quad \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{z}}{2}\right)^{1/m}. \tag{7.5}$$

We choose the Schwartz map s_0 to be the quotient of these two solutions. The inverse Schwartz map is $z = (s_0^m-1)^2/(s_0^m+1)^2$.

The hypergeometric solutions of H_1 corresponding to (7.4)–(7.5) are the solutions in (3.1), (3.4) with $a=-n/m-k-\ell$; the correspondence is up to the same fractional power factor and possibly different scalar factor. The Schwartz map s is equal, up to a constant factor, to

$$ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\ \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m} \\ 1-\frac{n}{m} \end{array}\right|\, 1-x\right) \Big/ (1-x)^{n/m}\ {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\ \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}+\frac{n}{2m} \\ 1+\frac{n}{m} \end{array}\right|\, 1-x\right). $$

After applying (3.1) and (3.8) to the numerator ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function and just (3.1) to the denominator ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function we conclude that the Schwartz map s is equal, up to a constant factor, to $\widetilde{G}\left(\sqrt{x}\right)/\widetilde{G}\left(-\sqrt{x}\right)$, where

$$\widetilde{G}(t) = (1+t)^{n/m}\, F_3\left(\begin{matrix} k+1,\, \ell+1;\, -k,\, -\ell \\ 1+n/m \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \frac{t+1}{2\,t}, \frac{1+t}{2} \right). \tag{7.6}$$

The constant multiple is restricted by compatibility of the conjugating automorphisms $\sqrt{z} \mapsto -\sqrt{z}$ and $\sqrt{x} \mapsto -\sqrt{x}$ of the two Darboux coverings. This automorphism acts on s_0 as $s_0 \mapsto 1/s_0$; the action on s must be the same. It follows that s is equal to $\widetilde{G}\left(\sqrt{x}\right)/\widetilde{G}\left(-\sqrt{x}\right)$, possibly up to multiplication by -1. From the explicit form of s_0^{-1} we conclude that

$$z = \left(\frac{\widetilde{G}\left(\sqrt{x}\right)^m - \widetilde{G}\left(-\sqrt{x}\right)^m}{\widetilde{G}\left(\sqrt{x}\right)^m + \widetilde{G}\left(-\sqrt{x}\right)^m} \right)^{\pm 2}. \tag{7.7}$$

Since we chose $x = 0$ to lie above $z = 0$, the correct exponent is 2 rather than -2. We indeed get a rational function in x on the right-hand side; the function is odd with respect to $x \mapsto -x$. The left-hand side of (7.2) is equal to $\widetilde{G}\left(\sqrt{x}\right)^m$. The difference $\widetilde{G}\left(\sqrt{x}\right)^m - \widetilde{G}\left(-\sqrt{x}\right)^m$ must be of order $k+1/2$, since this is the local exponent difference at $x = 0$. $\square$

Corollary 7.2 *We can obtain the same rational function $\Phi(x)$ of the same form if we replace the left-hand side of (7.2) by*

$$\left(1+\sqrt{x}\right)^{n+(k+\ell)m}\, F_2\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{m}-k-\ell; -k, -\ell \\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{1+\sqrt{x}}, \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{x}} \right)^m \tag{7.8}$$

and define $\Theta_1(x)$, $\Theta_2(x)$ in the same way.

Proof. Appell's F_2 and F_3 functions in (7.1) and (7.8) are related by transformation (2.10), hence the two versions of $\Theta_1(x)$ and $\Theta_2(x)$ differ by the same constant. It is instructive to observe that the same Schwarz map s_0 can be defined in terms of solutions (1.2) and (1.3) of H_0 and consider possible correspondence to H_1-solutions (3.2) and (3.3). $\square$

The following formula (7.9) is an analogue of (6.6).

Corollary 7.3 *We have the identity*

$$\Theta_1(x)^2 - x^{2k+1}\,\Theta_2(x)^2 = C\,(1-x)^n\,\Psi(x)^m, \tag{7.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(x) \;=\; & \frac{(-1)^\ell \left(1+\frac{n}{m}\right)_{k+\ell} \left(1-\frac{n}{m}\right)_{k+\ell} \left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell}{4^{k+\ell}\left(\frac{1+k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell} \times \\ & x^k\, F^{1:2;2}_{2:0;0}\left(\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2};\; k+1, \ell+1;\; -k, -\ell \\ 1+\frac{n}{m}; 1-\frac{n}{m} \end{matrix} \,\middle|\, 1-\frac{1}{x}, 1-x \right) \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+\ell}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\ell}\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_{\ell}}{\left(\frac{1+k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_{\ell}} \times$$

$$(1-x)^{k+\ell} F^{2:1;1}_{1:1;1}\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{n}{m}-k-\ell; -\frac{n}{m}-k-\ell; -k, -\ell \\ \frac{1}{2}-k-\ell;\ -2k, -2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{x}{x-1}, \frac{1}{1-x}\right), \qquad (7.11)$$

and

$$C = 4^{n+m(k+\ell)} \Big/ \left(1+\tfrac{n}{m}\right)^{2m}_{k+\ell} \qquad \textit{in the setting of Theorem 7.1,}$$

$$C = 4^{n} \Big/ \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2m}_{k} \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2m}_{\ell} \qquad \textit{in the setting of Corollary 7.2.}$$

Proof. Multiplication of both sides of (7.2) respectively with the conjugate $\sqrt{x} \mapsto -\sqrt{x}$ of itself gives

$$(1-x)^n\, G(\sqrt{x})\, G(-\sqrt{x}) = \Theta_1(x)^2 - x^{2k+1}\,\Theta_2(x)^2.$$

To evaluate $G(\sqrt{x})\, G(-\sqrt{x})$, we use formulas (7.1), (3.1), (5.12), and optionally (2.11), (7.8), (2.10). To check the constant C, one may use the explicit expressions in Remark 7.4 below. □

Here are some algebraic transformations of dihedral hypergeometric functions implied by Theorem 7.1, parallel to (6.7)–(6.10):

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2}, \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m} \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, x\right) = \left(\frac{\Theta_1(x)}{\Theta_1(0)}\right)^{1/m} \times$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2m} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{x^{2k+1}\Theta_2(x)^2}{\Theta_1(x)^2}\right), \qquad (7.12)$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{1+k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}, \frac{k+\ell}{2}+1-\frac{n}{2m} \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, x\right) = \left(\frac{\Theta_1(x)}{\Theta_1(0)}\right)^{1/m-1} \frac{\Theta_2(x)}{\Theta_2(0)} \times$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2m}, 1-\frac{1}{2m} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{x^{2k+1}\Theta_2(x)^2}{\Theta_1(x)^2}\right), \qquad (7.13)$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2}, \frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{x}{x-1}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\Psi(x)}{\Psi(0)}}\,(1-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+\ell)} \times$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{2m},\ \frac{1}{2m} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, -\frac{x^{2k+1}\Theta_2(x)^2}{C(1-x)^n\Psi(x)^m}\right), \qquad (7.14)$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2}, \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m} \\ 1-\frac{n}{m} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, 1-x\right) = \left(\frac{\Theta_1(x)}{\Theta_1(1)}\right)^{1/m} \times$$

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{1}{2m}, \frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2m} \\ 1-\frac{1}{m} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{C(1-x)^n\Psi(x)^m}{\Theta_1(x)^2}\right). \qquad (7.15)$$

Now we can try small values of k, ℓ and look for an explicit expressions of Klein's pull-back coverings. For $k=0$, $\ell=0$ we have the pull-back transformations of general dihedral functions from Section 6.

With $k=1$, $\ell=0$ we have hypergeometric equations with the local exponent differences $(1/2, 3/2, n/m)$. We have to expand in (7.2) the following expression:

$$(1+\sqrt{x})^n \left(1-\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m}\right)^m \tag{7.16}$$

For $n=1$ we get this explicit expansion:

$$\big(1+\sqrt{x}\big)\left(1-\frac{\sqrt{x}}{m}\right)^m = \theta_3(x) + x^{3/2}\,\theta_4(x)\,, \tag{7.17}$$

where

$$\theta_3(x) = {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m}{2}, -\frac{m+1}{2} \\ -1/2 \end{array}\right|\, \frac{x}{m^2}\right), \quad \theta_4(x) = \frac{m^2-1}{3m^2}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m-2}{2}, -\frac{m-3}{2} \\ 5/2 \end{array}\right|\, \frac{x}{m^2}\right).$$

Formula (7.9) becomes

$$\theta_3(x)^2 - x^3\,\theta_4(x)^2 = (1-x)\left(1-\frac{x}{m^2}\right)^m. \tag{7.18}$$

This identity is a special case of Lemma 5.1, with $a=-m-1$, $k=1$, $z=x/m^2$.

Expressions for $\Theta_1(x)$, $\Theta_2(x)$ appear to be more complicated for higher n, even if we keep $k=1$, $\ell=0$. For $n=2$ we still can find the explicit expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_5(x) &= {}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m+1}{2}, -\frac{m+2}{2}, -\frac{m}{m+2} \\ -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{2(m+1)}{m+2} \end{array}\right|\, \frac{4x}{m^2}\right), \\
\theta_6(x) &= \frac{2(m^2-4)}{3m^2}\,{}_3\mathrm{F}_2\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m-1}{2}, -\frac{m-2}{2}, \frac{m+6}{2(m+2)} \\ \frac{5}{2}, -\frac{m-2}{2(m+2)} \end{array}\right|\, \frac{4x}{m^2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for

$$(1+\sqrt{x})^2\left(1-\frac{2\sqrt{x}}{m}\right)^m = \theta_5(x) + x^{3/2}\,\theta_6(x)\,. \tag{7.19}$$

Let $G_{k,\ell}(\sqrt{x})$ denote the $G(\sqrt{x})$ polynomial in (7.2) for particular values of k, ℓ. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{2,0}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1-\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m}+\frac{n^2-m^2}{3m^2}\,x, \\
G_{3,0}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1-\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m}+\frac{2n^2-3m^2}{5m^2}\,x-\frac{n(n^2-4m^2)}{15m^3}x^{3/2}, \\
G_{4,0}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1-\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m}+\frac{3(n^2-2m^2)}{7m^2}\,x-\frac{n(2n^2-11m^2)}{21m^3}x^{3/2}+\frac{(n^2-m^2)(n^2-9m^2)}{105m^3}\,x^2, \\
G_{1,1}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1-\frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m}+x,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{2,1}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1 - \frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m} + \frac{n^2\,x}{3m^2} - \frac{n\,x^{3/2}}{3m},\\
G_{3,1}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1 - \frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m} + \frac{2(n^2-m^2)}{5m^2}\,x - \frac{n\,(n^2-m^2)}{15m^3}\,x^{3/2} + \frac{(n^2-m^2)}{15m^4}\,x^2,\\
G_{2,2}(\sqrt{x}) &= 1 - \frac{n\sqrt{x}}{m} + \frac{n^2+2m^2}{3m^2}\,x - \frac{n\,x^{3/2}}{m} + x^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The expression $(1+\sqrt{x})^n\,G_{k,\ell}(\sqrt{x})^m$ has the following simultaneous "approximation" at $x=0$ and $x=\infty$ property:

- At $x=0$, the coefficients to $x^{1/2}, x^{3/2}, \ldots, x^{k-1/2}$ are equal to zero;
- At $x=\infty$, the coefficients to $x^{(N-1)/2}, x^{(N-3)/2}, \ldots, x^{(N+1)/2-\ell}$ are equal to zero; here $N = n + m(k+\ell)$.

Remark 7.4 The polynomials $\Theta_1(x)$ and $\Theta_2(x)$ of Theorem 7.1 or Corollary 7.2 appear as semi-invariants (of degree m) of the finite dihedral monodromy group. Let us consider the following solutions of a dihedral hypergeometric equation (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 &= \frac{2^{n/m-k-\ell}\left(1-\frac{n}{m}\right)_{k+\ell}}{\left(\frac{1+k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell}\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\; \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\\ 1-\frac{n}{m}\end{array}\right|\,1-x\right)\\
&= \left(\tfrac12\right)_k\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\; \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\\ \frac12-k\end{array}\right|\,x\right)+\\
&\quad \frac{(-1)^{k+1}\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_k\left(-\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2}\right)_{k+\ell+1}}{\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell\left(\frac12\right)_{k+1}}\;x^{k+\frac12}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\; \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\\ \frac32+k\end{array}\right|\,x\right),\\
W_2 &= \frac{(-1)^\ell\,2^{n/m-k-\ell}\left(1+\frac{n}{m}\right)_{k+\ell}}{\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell}\,(1-x)^{n/m}\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} \frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\; \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}+\frac{n}{2m}\\ 1+\frac{n}{m}\end{array}\right|\,1-x\right)\\
&= \left(\tfrac12\right)_k\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\; \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\\ \frac12-k\end{array}\right|\,x\right)+\\
&\quad \frac{(-1)^{k}\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_k\left(-\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2}\right)_{k+\ell+1}}{\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell\left(\frac12\right)_{k+1}}\;x^{k+\frac12}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{2m}-\frac{k+\ell}{2},\; \frac{1-k+\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\\ \frac32+k\end{array}\right|\,x\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
W_1 &= \frac{(-1)^{k+\ell}\left(1+\frac{n}{m}\right)_{k+\ell}}{2^{k+\ell}\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell}x^{k/2}\left(1+\sqrt{x}\right)^{n/m}F_3\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} k+1,\ell+1;-k,-\ell\\ 1+\frac{n}{m}\end{array}\right|\,\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2\sqrt{x}},\frac{1+\sqrt{x}}{2}\right)\\
&= \frac{\left(\frac12\right)_k\left(\frac12\right)_\ell}{\left(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2}-\frac{n}{2m}\right)_\ell}\left(1+\sqrt{x}\right)^{n/m+k+\ell}F_2\!\left(\left.\begin{array}{c} -\frac{n}{m}-k-\ell;-k,-\ell\\ -2k,\;-2\ell\end{array}\right|\,\frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{x}+1},\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{x}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The function W_2 is the conjugate $\sqrt{x}\mapsto-\sqrt{x}$ of W_1. The polynomials $\Theta_1(x)$ and $\Theta_2(x)$, defined up to a constant multiple, appear in the semi-invariants

$$\Theta_1(x) = W_1^m + W_2^m, \qquad x^{k+\frac12}\,\Theta_2(x) = W_1^m - W_2^m. \tag{7.20}$$

The semi-invariants of degree 2 and m are related by formula (7.9), with $C = 4^{n+1} \Big/ \big(\frac{1-k-\ell}{2} - \frac{n}{2m}\big)_{\ell}^{2m}$.

8 Degenerate and logarithmic solutions

So far we considered solutions of Euler's hypergeometric equation (2.1) with the local exponent differences $k+1/2,\ \ell+1/2,\ a+k+\ell$, where k,ℓ are integers but a is not an integer. If the third local exponent difference is an integer, the monodromy group is either completely reducible and isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ or (in presence of logarithmic solutions) it is isomorphic to an infinite dihedral group. The two cases are separated as follows.

Theorem 8.1 *Let k,ℓ,m denote non-negative integers. Suppose that the three non-negative local exponent differences of (2.1) are equal to $k+1/2$, $\ell+1/2$, m. Then equation (2.1) has logarithmic solutions if and only if*

$$m \le k+\ell, \qquad \text{if } m+k+\ell \text{ is even,} \tag{8.1}$$

$$m < |k-\ell|, \qquad \text{if } m+k+\ell \text{ is odd.} \tag{8.2}$$

If this is the case, the monodromy group of (2.1) is an infinite dihedral group; otherwise the monodromy group is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. A representative equation (2.1) with the assumed local exponents has

$$A = -\frac{m+k+\ell}{2}, \qquad B = -\frac{m+k-\ell-1}{2}, \qquad C = \frac{1}{2} - k.$$

The sequence $A,\ 1-B,\ C-A,\ 1+B-C$ contains exactly two integers. By part (3) of [Vid07, Theorem 2.2], there are no logarithmic solutions precisely when the two integers are either both positive or both non-positive. Equivalently, there are logarithmic solutions precisely when one of the integers is positive while the other is non-positive. If $m+k+\ell$ is even, the two integers are

$$A = -\frac{m+k+\ell}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad 1+B-C = 1+\frac{k+\ell-m}{2}.$$

The first integer is always zero or negative; the second integer is positive exactly when $m \le k+\ell$. If $m+k+\ell$ is odd, the two integers are

$$1-B = \frac{1+m+k-\ell}{2} \qquad \text{and} \qquad C-A = \frac{1+m-k+\ell}{2}.$$

We may assume $\ell \le k$ without loss of generality. Then the first integer is positive; the second integer is non-positive exactly when $m < k-\ell$. □

For comparison, recall [Vid07, Section 9] that Euler's equation (2.1) with (non-negative) integer local exponent differences k,ℓ,m has logarithmic solutions if and only if one of the integers is greater than the sum of the other two.

Corollary 8.2 *Let k, ℓ, m denote non-negative integers, and suppolse that $k \le \ell$. Euler's equation (2.1) with (2.15) and $a = -m$ has logarithmic solutions if and only if*

$$0 \le \frac{m}{2} \le k + \ell, \qquad \text{for even } m, \tag{8.3}$$

$$k < \frac{m+1}{2} \le \ell, \qquad \text{for odd } m. \tag{8.4}$$

If this is the case, the monodromy group of (2.1) is an infinite dihedral group; otherwise the monodromy group is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. Theorem 8.1 is being applied to the hypergeometric equation with the local exponent differences $k + 1/2$, $\ell + 1/2$ and $m - k - \ell$ (or $k + \ell - m$). □

Our main identities (3.1)–(3.3) are valid for any $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and non-negative integers k, ℓ, but the left-hand sides of (3.2) and (3.3) can vanish when a Pocchammer factor is zero. Particular, this happens when $a = 1 - 2p$ for a positive integer $p \le \min(k, \ell)$. Adding up the right-hand sides of (3.2) and (3.3) suggests the following lemma.

Lemma 8.3 *Suppose that m is an odd positive integer. Then for any $b, c \in \mathbf{C}$ the triangular sum $F_2\left(\begin{array}{c} -m; b, c \\ 2b, 2c \end{array} \middle| \, x, 2-x \right)$ is identically zero.*

Proof. The $F_2(x, 2-x)$ sum is a rational function in b, c of degree at most $2m$. It is enough to show that this rational function is zero for infinitely many values of b, c. By (3.2) and (3.3), the F_2 sum is zero when b, c are integers $\le -\frac{m+1}{2}$. □

When $a = -m$ is an odd negative integer and $m < 2\min(k, \ell)$, the monodromy group is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ according to Corollary 8.2. Formulas (3.2) and (3.3) then do not give terminating expressions for the functions

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m}{2},\, \ell - \frac{m-1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} - k \end{array} \middle| \, z \right), \qquad {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} k - \frac{m-1}{2},\, k + \ell - \frac{m}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} + k \end{array} \middle| \, z \right). \tag{8.5}$$

But terminating expressions for these functions are obtained by applying Euler's transformation (2.12). According to [Vid07, Section 7], each of the two hypergeometric functions can be represented by terminating hypergeometric sums in 6 ways, and by non-terminating hypergeometric series (around $z = 0$ or $z = \infty$) in 4 ways. The remaining 4 of the 24 Kummer's solutions represent, up to a power factor, the function in (3.1). Terminating expression (3.1) holds, but we may also use [Vid07, (43)] with $(n, m, a) = \left(k - \frac{m+1}{2}, \ell - \frac{m+1}{2}, -k - \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $z \mapsto 1 - z$, and get

$$\begin{aligned} (1-z)^{k+\ell-m}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} -\frac{m}{2},\, \ell - \frac{m-1}{2} \\ k + \ell - m + 1 \end{array} \middle| \, 1-z \right) = {} & \frac{\left(k - \frac{m-1}{2}\right)_{\ell - \frac{m-1}{2}}}{\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)_{\ell - \frac{m-1}{2}}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} k - \frac{m+1}{2},\, \frac{m}{2} - k - \ell \\ \frac{1}{2} - k \end{array} \middle| \, z \right) \\ & + z^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left(\ell - \frac{m-1}{2}\right)_{k - \frac{m-1}{2}}}{\left(-k - \frac{1}{2}\right)_{k - \frac{m-1}{2}}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\begin{array}{c} \ell - \frac{m+1}{2},\, \frac{m}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} + k \end{array} \middle| \, z \right). \end{aligned} \tag{8.6}$$

Both series on the right hand side here terminate.

Other cases of the $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ monodromy group have integer $a = -m$ with odd $m > 2\max(k,\ell)$ or even $m > 2(k+\ell)$. The set of 24 Kummer's solutions has the same structure. In these cases, the hypergeometric functions in (8.5) themselves terminate, while the non-terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ solution is (3.4). An expression like (8.6) for this solution can be obtained (for odd m) by formally substituting $m \mapsto 2k+2\ell-m$ into (8.6) itself, or (for even m) by formally substituting $m \mapsto 2k-m-1$, $\ell \mapsto -\ell-1$ into (8.6). Note that Theorem 8.3 does not apply to the F_2 functions in (3.2)–(3.3) for odd $m > 2\min(k,\ell)$, because generally

$$\lim_{b\to -k} \frac{(-m)_{i+j}\,(b)_i\,(c)_j}{i!\,j!\,(2b)_i(2c)_j} \neq 0 \qquad \text{for} \qquad 2k < i \le m \tag{8.7}$$

in the triangular sum of Theorem 8.3, while the same term is taken for zero in the rectangular sums in (3.2)–(3.3).

In the logarithmic cases of Lemma 8.2, exactly one Pochhammer factor on the left-hand sides of (3.2) and (3.3) vanishes. In order to get a finite expression for the respective ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function, one needs to differentiate both sides of the vanishing equation with respect to a and evaluate at $a = -m$. We have

$$\frac{d}{da}(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a} = -(1+\sqrt{z})^{-a}\log(1+\sqrt{z})$$

and similarly for $\frac{d}{da}(1-\sqrt{z})^{-a}$.

Lemma 8.4 *The formula for differentiating a Pochhammer symbol is*

$$\frac{d}{da}(a)_N = \begin{cases} (a)_N\left(\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{a+1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{a+N-1}\right), & \text{if } (a)_N \neq 0,\\ (-1)^a(-a)!(N+a-1)!, & \text{if } (a)_N = 0. \end{cases} \tag{8.8}$$

Proof. If $(a)_N \neq 0$, we are differentiating a product of N linear functions in a. If $(a)_N = 0$ then a is zero or a negative integer. Writing $a = -m+\epsilon$ we have

$$(a)_N = (-m+\epsilon)(1-m+\epsilon)\cdots(-1+\epsilon)\,\epsilon\,(1+\epsilon)\cdots(N-m-1+\epsilon). \tag{8.9}$$

The differentiation is equivalent to dividing out ϵ and setting $\epsilon = 0$. □

Let $\psi(x)$ denote the digamma function, $\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$. The sum $\frac{1}{a}+\frac{1}{a+1}+\ldots+\frac{1}{a+N-1}$ can be written as $\psi(a+N)-\psi(a)$ if a is not zero or a negative integer. For an integer $m \ge 0$ let us define the derivative Pochhammer symbol

$$(-m)^{\dagger}_N = \left.\frac{d}{da}(a)_N\right|_{a=-m}. \tag{8.10}$$

By Lemma 8.4 we have

$$(-m)^{\dagger}_N = \begin{cases} (-m)_N\big(\psi(m+1-N)-\psi(m+1)\big) & \text{if } N \le m,\\ (-1)^m m!\,(N-m-1)! & \text{if } N > m. \end{cases} \tag{8.11}$$

Note that $(-m)^\dagger_N \neq 0$ when $N > 0$. On the other hand, $(-m)^\dagger_0 = 0$ even if $m = 0$.

When differentiating the left-hand side of (3.2) or (3.3) with a vanishing Pochhammer symbol, it is enough to differentiate only that Pochhammer symbol rather than all factors.

Suppose that $a = -m$ is a non-positive even integer, and $m \le 2(k+\ell)$. Then the two summands on the right-hand side of (3.2) are equal, since the expression in (3.3) is zero. Identity (3.2) becomes, for even $m \le 2(k+\ell)$,

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1-m}{2}\right)_\ell}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}-\frac{m}{2},\,\ell-\frac{m-1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\right|\,z\right) = (1+\sqrt{z})^m\,F_2\left(\left.\begin{matrix}-m;-k,-\ell\\ -2k,-2\ell\end{matrix}\,\right|\,\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}},\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right). \tag{8.12}$$

We differentiate both sides of (3.3) with respect to a, keep in mind that $\frac{d}{da}\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)_N$ evaluates to $\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)^\dagger_N$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\left(\frac{1-m}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)!\left(k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}\right)!}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}(-1)^{k+\frac{m}{2}}\,z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}k-\frac{m-1}{2},\,k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}+1\\ \frac{3}{2}+k\end{matrix}\,\right|\,z\right)&\\ = \frac{\left(\frac{1-m}{2}\right)_\ell}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\log\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}-\frac{m}{2},\,\ell-\frac{m-1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\right|\,z\right)&\\ +(1-\sqrt{z})^m\,F_2^\dagger\left(\left.\begin{matrix}-m;-k,-\ell\\ -2k,-2\ell\end{matrix}\,\right|\,\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1},\frac{2}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right)&\\ -(1+\sqrt{z})^m\,F_2^\dagger\left(\left.\begin{matrix}-m;-k,-\ell\\ -2k,-2\ell\end{matrix}\,\right|\,\frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}},\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right)&.\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

Here the $F_2^\dagger$ functions are rectangular sums of $(k+1)(\ell+1)$ terms, defined as the F_2 sums in (2.5) but with each Pochhammer symbol $(-m)_{i+j}$ replaced by the derivative $(-m)^\dagger_{i+j}$ following (8.11). For comparison, a logarithmic expression for the same ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function can be obtained using [Vid07, Theorem 6.1]. If $m \le k+\ell$ then replacing (n, m, a) there by our $\left(\frac{m}{2}, k+\ell-m, \frac{1-m}{2}+\ell\right)$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(-1)^{k+\ell-m+1}\,z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\ell\right)_{k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}+1}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}k-\frac{m-1}{2},k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}+1\\ \frac{3}{2}+k\end{matrix}\,\right|\,z\right) = \frac{\log(1-z)}{(k+\ell-m)!\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)!}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\left(\left.\begin{matrix}-\frac{m}{2},\ell-\frac{m-1}{2}\\ \frac{1}{2}-k\end{matrix}\,\right|\,z\right)&\\ -\frac{(z-1)^{-k-\ell+m}}{\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-\ell\right)_{k+\ell-m}}\sum_{j=0}^{k+\ell-m-1}\frac{\left(\frac{m+1}{2}-k\right)_j\,(k+\ell-m-j-1)!}{\left(k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}-j\right)!\,j!}(1-z)^j&\\ +\sum_{j=0}^{m/2}\frac{\left(\ell-\frac{m-1}{2}\right)_j\left(\psi\left(\ell-\frac{m-1}{2}+j\right)+\psi\left(\frac{m}{2}-j+1\right)-\psi(k+\ell-m+j+1)-\psi(j+1)\right)}{(k+\ell-m+j)!\left(\frac{m}{2}-j\right)!\,j!}(z-1)^j&\\ +(-1)^{\frac{m}{2}}\sum_{j=\frac{m}{2}+1}^{\infty}\frac{\left(\ell-\frac{m-1}{2}\right)_j\left(j-\frac{m}{2}-1\right)!}{(k+\ell-m+j)!\,j!}(1-z)^j&.\end{aligned} \tag{8.14}$$

Double sums or square roots are not involved here, but the last sum does not terminate. If $m > k+\ell$, we may substitute $m \mapsto 2k+2\ell-m$ into (8.14) for a similar formula.

According to [Vid07, Section 6], the general set of 24 Kummer's solutions degenerates to 20 distinct hypergeometric series, or less if $m = k + \ell$. There are 8 terminating and 4 nonterminating hypergeometric series representing the ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ function in (3.2). Among the terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ solutions, there is either (3.1) or (3.4); the other solution is undefined, unless $m = k + \ell$ when these two solutions coincide. The remaining 8 (in general) Kummer's solutions are non-terminating series around $z = 0$ or $z = \infty$ and represent two different functions; among them is (3.3).

For odd m, we have the same structure of the set of Kummer's 24 solutions and similar logarithmic solutions. For $2\ell < m < 2k$, differentiation of (3.3) with respect to a gives almost the same formula as (8.13); we only need to replace the left-hand side by

$$\frac{\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)!\,\left(k-\frac{m+1}{2}\right)!\,\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)_{k+\ell+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\,(-1)^{k+\frac{m-1}{2}}\,z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} k-\frac{m-1}{2},\, k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, z\right). \tag{8.15}$$

To get a similar formula as in (8.14), we have to substitute $m \mapsto m-1-2\ell$, $\ell \mapsto -\ell-1$ there. For odd m satisfying $2k < m < 2\ell$, differentiation of (3.2) eventually gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\left(\frac{m-1}{2}\right)!\,\left(\ell-\frac{m+1}{2}\right)!}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\,(-1)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}\,{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -\frac{m}{2},\, \ell-\frac{m-1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}-k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, z\right) = \\
&\quad \frac{\left(\frac{1-m}{2}\right)_k\left(-\frac{m}{2}\right)_{k+\ell+1}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{k+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_\ell}\,(-1)^k\,z^{k+\frac{1}{2}}\log\frac{1+\sqrt{z}}{1-\sqrt{z}}\;{}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} k-\frac{m-1}{2},\, k+\ell-\frac{m}{2}+1 \\ \frac{3}{2}+k \end{matrix}\,\right|\, z\right) \\
&\quad +(1+\sqrt{z})^m\,F_2^{\dagger}\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -m;-k,-\ell \\ -2k,-2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{1+\sqrt{z}},\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{z}}\right) \\
&\quad +(1-\sqrt{z})^m\,F_2^{\dagger}\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} -m;-k,-\ell \\ -2k,-2\ell \end{matrix}\,\right|\, \frac{2\sqrt{z}}{\sqrt{z}-1},\frac{2}{1-\sqrt{z}}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.16}$$

To get a similar formula as in (8.14), we have to substitute $m \mapsto m-1-2k$, $k \mapsto -k-1$ there.

Logarithmic dihedral functions can be expressed in terms of $\arctan z$, $\arcsin z$ functions, following the formulas

$$\arctan x = \frac{1}{2i}\,\log\frac{1+ix}{1-ix}, \qquad \arcsin x = \frac{1}{i}\,\log\left(\sqrt{1-x^2}+ix\right). \tag{8.17}$$

In particular, as well known [Tem96, Example 5.1],

$${}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2},\, 1 \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, -x^2\right) = \frac{\arctan x}{x}, \qquad {}_2\mathrm{F}_1\!\left(\left.\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2},\, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}\,\right|\, x^2\right) = \frac{\arcsin x}{x}. \tag{8.18}$$

Remark 8.5 As observed in Section 4, the terminating F_2 or F_3 sums in (3.1)–(3.3) become terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums in the case $k = 0$ or $\ell = 0$. If, additionally, a is an integer, the structure of 24 Kummer's solutions for the quadratically pull-backed equation degenerates strikingly. If $a = -m$ with $0 \le m \le 2k$, there are logarithmic solutions by Lemma

8.2. We have to apply then [Vid07, Section 9] with $(n,m,\ell)=(|k-m|,|k-m|,m)$ to the pull-backed ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums. There are at most 10 different terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ solutions, all representing the same elementary solution of the pull-backed equation.

If a is an integer and $|a+k|>k$, the dihedral group degenerates to $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$, while the monodromy group of the quadratically pull-backed equation is trivial. By [Vid07, Section 8], the pull-backed equation has 3 solutions like in (4.6), each representable by 6 terminating and 2 non-terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ series.

Recall that non-degenerate Euler's equation (2.1) has a basis of hypergeometric solutions at each of the singular points; the six solutions are different functions, and each of them has 4 representations as Gauss hypergeometric series due to Euler-Pfaff transformations (2.12)–(2.13). This gives the total of 24 Kummer's solutions.

Remark 8.6 Algebraic transformations of Sections 6 and 7 involving degenerate or logarithmic Gauss hypergeometric functions still apply as pull-back transformations of their hypergeometric equations (2.1). But relations between the hypergeometric solutions might be non-standard, as degenerate sets of 24 Kummer's solutions have a different structure. For example, consider the classical quadratic transformation (6.19) represented by transformation (4.2). The dihedral function on the left-hand side of (4.2) gets actually identified with all 6 terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums in (4.6)–(4.10), minding the front factors and the substitution $x\mapsto 1-x$. On the other hand, the terminating ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ sums in (4.6) and (4.14) are not related by two-term identities according to Remark 4.1. Hence there are no two-term identities between the dihedral function in (4.2) and the terminating sums in (4.14).

In transformation (6.20), the set of 24 Kummer's solutions on the $(1,np,np)$ side is always degenerate.

Transformations (6.18) and (6.19) involve degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions on both sides when p is an integer. Let us assume here that p is an integer. By Theorem 8.1, logarithmic solutions appear in (6.18) or (6.19) if and only if $|p|\le k$. The special case $k=0$ of (6.18) leads to Tchebyshev polynomials, as demonstrated in (6.15). More generally, Gegenbauer polynomials C_n^{γ} with $\gamma=k+1$ appear in (6.18) when $p=n+k+1$. The special case $p=0$ of (6.19) leads to Legendre polynomials. More generally, Gegenbauer polynomials C_n^{γ} with half-integer $\gamma=\frac12+|p|$ appear when $k=n+|p|$. For a complete view, a quadratic transformation for general Gegenbauer polynomials transforms the local exponent differences of their ${}_2\mathrm{F}_1$ expressions as follows:

$$\left(\tfrac12,\,\tfrac12-\gamma,\,n+\gamma\right)\xleftarrow{2}\left(\tfrac12-\gamma,\,\tfrac12-\gamma,\,2n+2\gamma\right). \tag{8.19}$$

References

[AAR99] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy. *Special Functions.* Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[AK03] F.V. Andreev and A.V. Kitaev. Some examples of $RS_3^2(3)$-transformations of ranks 5 and 6 as the higher order transformations for the hypergeometric function. *Ramanujan J.*, 7(4):455–476, 2003.

[Ber04] M. Berkenbosch. *Algorithms and Moduli spaces for Differential Equations.* PhD thesis, University of Groningen, 2004.

[Cha58] W. Chaundy. On Clausen's hypergeometric identity. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)*, 9:265–274, 1958.

[Erd53] A. Erdélyi, editor. *Higher Transcendental Functions*, volume I. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New-York, 1953.

[Gou81] E. Goursat. Sur l'équation différentielle linéaire qui adment pour intégrale la série hypergéométrique. *Ann. Sci. École Noprm. Sup.(2)*, 10:S3–S142, 1881.

[KNSY08] R. Kobayashi, T. Nishizaka, S. Shinzato, and M. Yoshida. Affine schwarz map for the hypergeometric differential equation. *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, 51:281–305, 2008. Available at http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0608269.

[OY04] H. Ochiai and M. Yoshida. Polynomials associated with the contiguity relations of the hypergeometric functions with finite monodromy groups. *International J. of Math.*, 15 (7):629–650, 2004.

[SK85] H. M. Srivastava and P. W. Karlsson. *Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series.* Ellis Horwood Ltd., 1985.

[SU93] M. F. Singer and F. Ulmer. Galois groups of second and third order linear differential equations. *Journ. Symb. Comp.*, 16(3):9–36, 1993.

[Tem96] N.M. Temme. *Special functions: An introduction to the Classical Functions of Mathematical Physics.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1996.

[vHW05] M. van Hoeij and J.-A. Weil. Solving second order linear differential equations with Klein's theorem. Proceedings of the 2005 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (ISSAC), 2005.

[Vid04] R. Vidūnas. Algebraic transformations of Gauss hypergeometric functions. Available at http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0408269, 2004.

[Vid05a] R. Vidūnas. Darboux evaluations of algebraic Gauss hypergeometric functions. Available at http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0504264, 2005.

[Vid05b] R. Vidūnas. Transformations of some Gauss hypergeometric functions. *Journ. Comp. Applied Math.*, 178:473–487, 2005. Available at http://arXiv.org/math.CA/0310436.

[Vid07] R. Vidūnas. Degenerate Gauss hypergeometric functions. *Kyushu Journal of Mathematics*, 61:109–135, 2007. Available at http://arxiv.org/math.CA/0407265.

[Vid08] R. Vidūnas. Transformations of algebraic Gauss hypergeometric functions. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.4808, 2008.

[Vid09a] R. Vidūnas. A generalization of Clausen's identity. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1862, 2009.

[Vid09b] R. Vidūnas. Specialization of Appell's functions to univariate hypergeometric functions. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 355:145–163, 2009. Available at http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0655.